

Appl. No. 10/822,857  
Amdt. dated June 9, 2005  
Reply to Office action of March 15, 2005

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**


The Examiner has objected to the claims as being unpatentable in view of applicant's earlier U.S. patent number 6,721,986 on the grounds of nonstatutory double patenting.

In response, applicant submits herewith a "Terminal Disclaimer To Obviate a Double Patenting Rejection Over a "Prior" Patent".

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

**BERESKIN & PARR**

By   
Stephen M. Beney  
Reg. No. 41,563  
Tel: 416 957 1697

Attachments